

**Comments of the General Electric Company on the
USEPA Modeling Study of PCB Contamination in the
Housatonic River**

**Modeling Framework Design
Quality Assurance Project Plan**

Prepared by:

Quantitative Environmental Analysis, LLC

Prepared for:

**General Electric Company
Pittsfield, MA**

**Job Number:
GENhou 132**

November 30, 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1-1
1.2 SUMMARY OF MAJOR COMMENTS	1-2
 SECTION 2 QUESTION 1: <i>Do the modeling frameworks used by USEPA include the significant processes affecting PCB fate, transport, and bioaccumulation in the Housatonic River; and are the descriptions of these processes in the modeling framework(s) sufficiently accurate to represent the hydrodynamics, sediment transport, PCB fate and transport, and PCB bioaccumulation in the Housatonic River?</i>	
2.1 GENERAL	2-1
2.2 CONCEPTUAL MODEL	2-2
2.2.1 Processes Included in the Conceptual Model	2-2
2.2.2 Overview of Relevant Processes.....	2-3
2.2.3 PCB Distribution.....	2-4
2.2.4 Sequestration of PCBs	2-4
2.2.5 Sediment Erosion.....	2-5
2.2.6 Water Column PCB Partitioning	2-6
2.2.7 Non-Partitioning of PCBs	2-6
2.2.8 Sediment Bed Load	2-9
2.2.9 Wind-Driven Transport of Particulate PCBs	2-9
2.2.10 Bioturbation	2-10
2.2.11 Dating Sediment Deposits.....	2-11
2.3 WATERSHED MODELING (HSPF)	2-11
2.4 HYDRODYNAMIC, SEDIMENT TRANSPORT AND ABIOTIC PCB FATE MODELING (EFDC)	2-12
2.5 BIOTIC PCB FATE AND PCB BIOACCUMULAITON MODELING (AQUATOX)....	2-15
 SECTION 3 QUESTION 2: <i>Based upon the technical judgment of the peer review panel: A) Are the modeling approaches suitable for representing the relevant external force functions (e.g., hydraulic flows, solids and PCB loads, initial sediment conditions, etc.), describing quantitative relationships among those functions, and developing quantitative relationships between those functions and PCB concentrations in environmental media (e.g., water column, sediments, fish, and other biota, etc.)? B) Are the models adequate for describing the interactions between the floodplains and the River? C) Are the models adequate for describing the impacts of rare flood events? D) Are the models adequate for discriminating between water-related and sediment-related sources of PCBs to fish and other biota?</i>	
3.1 EXTERNAL FORCING FUNCTIONS.....	3-1
3.2 INTERACTIONS BETWEEN FLOODPLAINS AND RIVER CHANNEL.....	3-2
3.3 IMPACTS OF RARE FLOOD EVENT.....	3-3
3.4 WATER-RELATED AND SEDIMENT-RELATED SOURCES OF PCBs TO BIOTA	3-4

SECTION 4 QUESTION 3: *Again, based upon the technical judgment of the panel, are the spatial and temporal scales of the modeling approaches adequate to address the principal need for the model-producing sufficiently accurate predictions of the time to attain particular PCB concentrations in environmental media under various scenarios (including natural recovery and different potential active remedial options) to support remedial decision-making in the context described in the background section of the charge? If not, what levels of spatial and temporal resolutions are required to meet this need?* 4-1

- 4.1 SPATIAL DOMAIN OF THE MODELS 4-1
- 4.2 MISMATCH IN THE LEVEL OF DETAIL BETWEEN EFDC AND AQUATOX.... 4-3
- 4.3 CHOICE OF GRID SCHEME 4-3

SECTION 5 QUESTION 4: *Is the level of theoretical rigor of the equations used to describe the various processes affecting PCB fate and transport, such as settling, resuspension, volatilization, biological activity, partitioning, etc., adequate, in your professional judgment, to address the principal need for the model (as defined above)? If not, what processes and what resolution are required?* 5-1

- 5.1 OMISSION OF EQUATIONS REPRESENTING POTENTIALLY IMPORTANT PROCESSES 5-1
- 5.2 LACK OF SUPPORT FOR EQUATIONS USED IN AQUATOX TO CONVERT WATERSHED LOADS OF BOD AND TOC TO POM AND DOM LOADING 5-2

SECTION 6 QUESTION 5: *What supporting data are required for the calibration/validation of the model on the spatial and temporal scales necessary to address the principal need for the model (as defined above)? What supporting data are required to achieve the necessary level of process resolution in the model?* 6-1

- 6.1 SUPPORTING DATA FOR HSPF 6-1
- 6.2 SUPPORTING DATA FOR EFDC 6-1
- 6.3 SUPPORTING DATA FOR AQUATOX..... 6-2
- 6.4 USE OF MODEL CALIBRATION METRICS 6-3
- 6.5 GEOMORPHOLOGICAL STUDY 6-5

SECTION 7 QUESTION 6: *Based upon your technical judgment, are the available data, together with the data proposed to be obtained by USEPA, adequate for the development of a model that would meet the above referenced purposes? If not, what additional data should be obtained for these purposes?* 7-1

- 7.1 GENERAL 7-1
- 7.2 WATER COLUMN PCB PARTITIONING..... 7-1
- 7.3 SEDIMENT PORE WATER MEASUREMENTS/SEDIMENT PARTITION COEFFICIENTS 7-2
- 7.4 BED LOAD MEASUREMENTS 7-2
- 7.5 DATA ON OIL-PHASE PCBs 7-3
- 7.6 BIOMASS DATA TO SUPPORT AQUATOX..... 7-3

REFERENCES R-1